



Published in final edited form as:

Prev Sci. 2017 February ; 18(2): 225–232. doi:10.1007/s11121-016-0739-z.

Ethical issues in using social media to deliver an HIV prevention intervention: Results from the HOPE Peru Study

Renee Garrett^a, Luis Menacho^b, and Sean D. Young^c

^aMS, LCSW, ElevateU, Los Angeles, USA

^bMD, Espacio Commun (Epicentro), Lima, Peru

^cMS, PhD, UCLA Center for Digital Behavior, Department of Family Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA), USA

Abstract

Social media technologies have become increasingly useful tools for research-based interventions. However, participants and social media users have expressed ethical concerns with these studies, such as risks and benefits of participation, as well as privacy, confidentiality, and informed consent issues. This study was designed to follow up with and assess experiences and perceptions of ethics-related issues among a sample of 211 men who have sex with men who participated in the Harnessing Online Peer Education (HOPE) Peru study, a randomized controlled HIV prevention intervention conducted in Peru. We found that after adjusting for age, highest educational attainment, race, sexual orientation, and prior HIV research experience, participants in the intervention group were more likely than those in the control group to have safe sex ($p = 0.0051$) and get tested for HIV regularly ($p = 0.0051$). As a result of their participation, those in the intervention group benefited more positively than participants in the control group in improving HIV care ($p = 0.0077$) and learning where to receive sexual health services ($p = 0.0021$). Participants in the intervention group expressed higher levels of comfort than those in the control group in joining and seeing other people in the Facebook group ($p = 0.039$), seeing other people's posts ($p = 0.038$), and having other group members talk to them online ($p = 0.040$). We discuss the implications of these results as they relate to social media-based HIV research.

Keywords

Men Who Have Sex with Men; Low and Middle Income Countries; HIV/AIDS; Social Media; Peru

Introduction

Social media use has expanded rapidly in the past decade. According to one survey, an estimated 74% of American adults who are online use some form of social media (Pew

Address Correspondence to: Sean D. Young, PhD, MS, Department of Family Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, 10880 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1800, Los Angeles, CA 90024, *Telephone:* 310-794-8530, *Fax:* 310-794-6097, *sdyoung@mednet.ucla.edu.*

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Research Center, 2013). Social media technologies can be used to reach a large number of individuals rapidly. Increasing numbers of research studies are now implemented over social media. However, recent social media-based studies have raised ethical questions (Bull et al., 2011; Young, 2012). For example, a 2014 Facebook experiment assessed whether positive or negative content would affect users' emotions and subsequent updates among 700,000 uninformed users (Albergotti, 2014). This experiment sparked outrage among social media users (Albergotti, 2014; The Muse, 2014), with many commentators questioning the legality of the study and expressing concerns about informed consent, privacy, and confidentiality regarding online studies (Goel, 2014; Meyer, 2014).

Although corporate use of social media for research has caused controversy, public health researchers, seeking to use social media for public good, have successfully used social media to study and modify risk behaviors among high-risk populations, especially for stigmatized diseases (Bull, Levine, Black, Schmiede, & Santelli, 2012; Young & Jaganath, 2013; Young et al., 2013). For example, the Harnessing Online Peer Education (HOPE) study is a peer-led HIV intervention delivered over Facebook targeting African American and Latino men who have sex with men (MSM) at high-risk of HIV (Young et al., 2013). The study found that participants in the intervention group were significantly more likely to request HIV home-testing kits compared with participants in the control group. These results have been replicated in international settings, such as Peru (Young et al., 2015). However, to date, no studies have focused on the ethics of social media-based interventions and participant experiences in these studies. Studies on research ethics have documented a process for determining whether and how research practices are conducted in an ethical manner. For example, ethics researchers have stated the need for researchers to conduct follow-up studies to assess whether studies adhere to the Belmont principles, citing the Common Rule such as benefiting participants more than harming them (Fisher, 2011). Studies on the ethics of research are especially vital in the new and growing field of social media research because they will provide information on whether study participants find social media-based studies safe and acceptable, which will help inform future conduct of social media-based research. In addition, these issues are especially important to study in global settings, such as Peru, where social media-based interventions are rapidly increasing in use.

A number of specific ethical issues with social media-based public health interventions like the HOPE study have been discussed by researchers and Institutional Review Boards and need to be addressed. First, as listed as a requirement in the Belmont Principles, it is unknown whether the benefits of participating in social media-based HIV studies outweigh the risks. Second, it is unknown whether participants believe their privacy and confidentiality can be maintained as needed throughout study participation. Third, it is unknown whether participants believe social media-based public health research is justified in that it can benefit societal well-being. Finally, it is unknown whether participants in control and intervention groups may have differing perspectives on these issues.

Therefore, this study was designed to evaluate the ethics of social media research according to the Belmont Principles. We sought to re-contact Peruvian MSM participants from a

Facebook-based HIV intervention (HOPE Peru) and assess their experiences and perceptions of ethics-related issues based on whether they were in a control or intervention group.

Methods

Institutional Review Boards at UCLA and Epicentro approved the study protocol for the original HOPE study and the current study. Participants received information about the study and completed informed consent online. The study adheres to the current recommendations on using social media in HIV research (Young, 2012).

The HOPE Peru study was a 12-week HIV Facebook-based peer-led HIV intervention that sought to increase HIV testing and prevention behaviors among Peruvian MSM at high-risk of HIV. It is a peer-led intervention, whereby participants in the HIV intervention were assigned to closed, secret, Facebook groups and assigned peer leaders (other Peruvian MSM) to encourage them to get an HIV test at a local clinic. Participants in the control group joined closed secret Facebook group but did not receive any additional information. The HOPE Peru study included 556 participants who were (1) male, (2) 18 years of age or older, (3) residents of greater Lima, (4) current Facebook users, and (5) had had sex with a man in the past 12 months. Consented participants were then randomized into one of two conditions: an HIV intervention Facebook group or a standard of care control Facebook group (general health). At one-year follow-up (June 2014), participants were re-contacted using email, social media, and phone, and invited to complete a survey to assess their ethical experiences in the HOPE Peru study.

This ethics-related follow-up study provided enough funding to recruit the first 211 participants (out of 556 Peruvian MSM from the HOPE Peru study) who responded to the solicitation. Thus, the study includes 109 participants from the control group and 102 participants from the intervention group. Participants were compensated with an equivalent of \$11 (U.S.) in Peruvian Sol upon completion of the survey.

Measures

The 40-item survey assessed the participants' ethics-relevant perceptions and experiences in the social media-based study related to recruitment, informed consent, intervention, and follow-up/post-intervention. Participants from the control and the intervention groups completed the same survey. Because no existing items had been validated in studying the ethics of using social media in HIV prevention research, the authors created their own items based on feedback from other researchers, participants, and internal review boards on the ethical issues associated with social media-based research. Measures were generated in English. Translation was conducted by a bilingual Peruvian physician (co-investigator). Back-translation was conducted by a bilingual investigator who viewed the Spanish content to assess whether it maintained the meaning of the original English text. Translation was not word for word, but rather tailored to the dialect and idioms of Peruvian Spanish.

Basic Demographics—Basic demographics included age, sexual identity, highest educational attainment, race/ethnicity, and prior HIV research experience.

Recruitment (11 items)—Participants were asked questions to better understand the ethical issues associated with the recruitment process for an online social media–based intervention. For example, using a 5-point Likert-type scale, participants were asked, “How comfortable were you about the idea of participating when you first read or heard about the study?” and “How comfortable were you clicking on the advertisement?” In addition, participants were asked to indicate their levels of comfort/discomfort (5-point Likert-type scale) regarding key study characteristics.

Informed consent (3 items)—Participants were asked to rate how much they understood the consent form and study process (did not understand at all to completely understood) and how similar/dissimilar their experiences participating in the study were from the consent form. In addition, participants were also asked about the completeness of the consent form (“Thinking back, is there any information that you were not told and think you needed to know about the study beforehand?”).

Intervention (15 items)—Participants were asked to indicate their comfort/discomfort levels while participating in the study intervention. For example, participants were asked, using a 5-point Likert-type scale, how comfortable they were (1) completing the baseline survey, (2) being sent a Facebook group invitation, (3) joining a social media–based research study with a group of strangers, (4) seeing other people’s posts on the group wall, (5) posting on the group wall, and (6) talking with other group members online.

Follow-up/post intervention (21 items)—We asked participants how they felt after the study was completed in order to gain an understanding of the lasting ethical issues related to study participation. For example, using a 5-point Likert type scale (very negative to very positive), participants were asked how much they benefited from the study in (1) learning more about health, (2) learning about sexual health, (3) gaining new friends, 4) feeling closer to the MSM community, (5) feeling better about themselves, (6) learning about HIV status, (7) improving HIV care, (8) learning where to receive sexual health services, (9) gaining a job, (10) learning about research, (11) increasing trust in other people, and (12) increasing trust in research. Participants were also asked whether the study impacted their HIV testing decisions.

Analysis

All analyses were conducted using the R statistical package (version 3.1.0). A total of 211 participants were included in the study. Two-sample t-test and chi-square (Fisher’s exact for $n < 5$) tests were used to determine the binary association between group (intervention vs. control) and basic demographics (age, prior HIV research experience, race, sexual orientation, highest educational attainment). A simple logistic regression model for binary outcomes and cumulative logit regression model for ordinal outcomes were used to assess the binary association between group- and ethics-related outcomes. The final model was adjusted for age, sexual orientation, race, highest educational attainment, and prior HIV research experience. Only significant results are included in Tables 1 to 3.

Results

Basic Demographics

Participants in the control group ($M = 31.94$, $SD = 7.66$) were slightly older than participants in the intervention group ($M = 31.69$, $SD = 7.75$) (Table 1). Most participants from both groups were gay, mixed race, and university-educated. A greater number of participants in the intervention group (37.3%) compared with the control group (28.4%) had previously participated in a HIV study. No significant associations between basic demographic variables and group were found.

Benefits of Study Participation and Understanding of Consent

Compared to participants in the intervention group, participants in the control group expressed higher levels of understanding in the consent form and study process ($p = 0.0067$) (Table 2). Participants in the intervention group expressed higher levels of comfort in joining and seeing other people in the Facebook group ($p = 0.028$), seeing other people's posts ($p = 0.038$), and having other group members talk to them online ($p = 0.0032$). After the study, a greater number of participants in the intervention group compared to participants in the control group expressed that they were likely or more likely to have safe sex ($p = 0.034$) and get tested for HIV regularly ($p = 0.021$). Participants in the intervention group were more likely than those in the control group to indicate that they benefited positively or very positively from the study in gaining new friends ($p = 0.023$), improving HIV care ($p = 0.029$), and learning where to receive sexual health services ($p = 0.0062$).

Adjusted Odds Ratios for Group on Ethics-related Outcomes

Table 3 presents the statistical results on the adjusted analysis of participants' ethics-related concerns. After adjusting for age, highest educational attainment, race, sexual orientation, and prior HIV research experience, participants in the intervention group, compared to those in the control group, expressed lower levels of understanding in the consent form and study process. In addition, participants in the intervention group expressed higher levels of comfort than participants in the control group in joining and seeing other people in the Facebook group, seeing other people's posts, and having other group members talk to them online. Participants in the intervention group were more likely than participants in the control group to have safe sex and to get tested for HIV regularly. They also reported that they benefited more positively than those in the control group in improving HIV care and learning where to receive sexual health services.

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to examine participants' experiences and ethics-related perceptions between intervention and control groups in a social media-based HIV intervention. Previous studies have found social media to be effective in delivering HIV prevention education (Young & Jaganath, 2013; Young et al., 2013). In this study, participants in the intervention group also perceived the study to be beneficial, demonstrating additional ethical data. The intervention positively impacted participants' HIV-related knowledge (e.g., locations for sexual health services and HIV care) and

prevention behaviors (e.g., safe sex and regular HIV testing). Participants in the study also perceived the social media-based HIV intervention to be acceptable, providing important data on the ability for researchers to conduct these studies in the future. Participants in the intervention group felt more comfortable joining and interacting with others in an HIV-focused Facebook group than those in the control group. Given that stigma and discrimination remain important barriers to Peruvian MSM in accessing HIV prevention and education, social media, with over 12.4 million Peruvian users (55% of Peru's population), provides a promising platform not only to reach individuals at-risk for HIV, but also to create a supportive community to increase prevention behavior (Pereyra & Santillana, 2014).

Although only a small number of participants from both groups expressed concerns over privacy, confidentiality, and safety, the study found that online informed consent remains a challenge. Participants in the control group expressed higher levels of understanding in the consent form and study process than participants in the intervention group. In online settings, the lack of interaction between participants and researchers makes it difficult for researchers to ascertain whether participants have read and understood the consent form (Pequegnat et al., 2007; Rosser et al., 2009). Previous online studies suggested that additional measures are needed to ensure participants' understanding of the material. Online informed consent should embrace social media technologies and present materials to address the needs of each participant, such as the use of multimedia content, a preview of the study, bullet points, and a post-reading assessment (Pequegnat et al., 2007; Rosser et al., 2009).

The most important implication of this study is that participants appear to believe that social media is a valuable tool for HIV prevention research. It appears that participants did not experience the ethical concerns reported from corporate research studies, such as the 2014 Facebook study. Participants' reports of the benefits they received are also important for researchers planning work in this area, so that they can tailor interventions to benefit both participants and society.

This study has a few limitations. First, the study might suffer from recall bias as participants were contacted approximately 1.5 years after the study began to complete surveys regarding their experiences. Qualitative interviews with participants could help to address recall by helping to better understand when and how recall issues might affect participant responses. Qualitative methods could also be used to gain a more thorough understanding of the ethics-related issues identified in this analysis. Mixed methods approaches are therefore recommended for ethics-based research. Second, the results lack generalizability outside of Peru, Peruvian MSM, and HIV prevention studies. Future research can explore whether the current findings related to social media and HIV interventions extend to areas outside of Peru.

Social media use continues to grow worldwide, and the percentages of social media users among Internet users in many low- and middle-income countries have bypassed rates in the United States (Rainie & Poushter, 2014). Given this explosion, social media has become a cost-effective tool in HIV research in global health settings. While participants in this study found Facebook to be a safe, acceptable, and effective platform for delivery of an HIV intervention, more studies are needed to assess participants' perceptions of ethics-related

issues in social media–based studies. Future social media–based studies should consider assessing ethics-related questions regularly to ensure the safety and comfort of participants.

Acknowledgments

Funding: This study was funded by the National Institute of Mental Health (Young: K01 MH090884) and by the Fordham University HIV and Drug Abuse Prevention Research Ethics Training (National Institute on Drug Abuse R25 DA031608-01).

The authors would like to thank researchers at the Center for Digital Behavior (CDB) at the University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA) for their input on this study. We wish to acknowledge Jason Chiu for his data analysis and help developing the manuscript while he was on staff.

References

- Albergotti, R. [Retrieved April 11, 2015] Furor erupts over Facebook's experiment on users. The Wall Street Journal. 2014. 7/30/14 from <http://online.wsj.com/articles/furor-erupts-over-facebook-experiment-on-users-1404085840>
- Bull SS, Breslin LT, Wright EE, Black SR, Levine D, Santelli JS. Case study: an ethics case study of HIV prevention research on Facebook: The Just/Us study. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*. 2011; 36(10):1082–1092. [PubMed: 21292724]
- Bull SS, Levine DK, Black SR, Schmiede SJ, Santelli J. Social media–delivered sexual health intervention: a cluster randomized controlled trial. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*. 2012; 43(5):467–474. [PubMed: 23079168]
- Fisher C. Addiction research ethics and the Belmont principles: Do drug users have a different moral voice? *Substance Use and Misuse*. 2011; 46(6):728–741. [PubMed: 21073412]
- Goel, V. [Retrieved October 10, 2015] Facebook tinkers with users' emotions in news feed experiment, stirring outcry. *New York Times*. 2014. 06/29/14 from http://www.nytimes.com/2014/06/30/technology/facebook-tinkers-with-users-emotions-in-news-feed-experiment-stirring-outcry.html?_r=0
- Meyer, R. [Retrieved September 12, 2015] Facebook's mood manipulation experiment might have been illegal. *The Atlantic*. 2014. 09/24/14 from <http://www.theatlantic.com/technology/archive/2014/09/facebooks-mood-manipulation-experiment-might-be-illegal/380717/>
- Pequegnat W, Rosser BR, Bowen AM, Bull SS, DiClemente RJ, Bockting WO, et al. Conducting internet-based HIV/STD prevention survey research: considerations in design and evaluation. *AIDS Behav*. 2007; 11(4):505–521. [PubMed: 17053853]
- Pereyra, RC., Santillana, SA. [Retrieved December 10, 2015] Facebook apunta a premiar a las empresas que paguen por publicidad [in Spanish]. *Gestion*. 2014. 3/21/14 from <http://gestion.pe/tendencias/facebook-apunta-premiar-empresas-que-paguen-publicidad-segun-futuro-labs-2086840>
- Pew Research Center. [Retrieved November 11, 2015] Social networking fact sheet. 2013. 12/27/2013 from <http://www.pewinternet.org/fact-sheets/social-networking-fact-sheet/>
- Rainie, L., Poushter, J. [Retrieved November 11, 2015] Emerging nations catching up to U.S. on technology adoption, especially mobile and social media use. 2014. from <http://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2014/02/13/emerging-nations-catching-up-to-u-s-on-technology-adoption-especially-mobile-and-social-media-use/>
- Simon Rosser BR, Gurak L, Horvath KJ, Oakes JM, Konstan J, Danilenko GP. The challenges of ensuring participant consent in internet-based sex studies: a case study of the Men's INternet Sex (MINTS-I and II) studies. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*. 2009; 14(3):602–626.
- The Muse. [Retrieved April 12, 2016] The Facebook experiment: what it means for you. *Forbes*. 2014. from <http://www.forbes.com/sites/dailymuse/2014/08/04/the-facebook-experiment-what-it-means-for-you/>
- Young SD. Recommended guidelines on using social networking technologies for HIV prevention research. *AIDS and Behavior*. 2012; 16(7):1743–1745. [PubMed: 22821067]
- Young SD, Jaganath D. Online social networking for HIV education and prevention: a mixed methods analysis. *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*. 2013; 40(2):162–167. [PubMed: 23324979]

- Young SD, Cumberland W, Lee S, Jaganath D, Szekeres G, Coates T. Social networking technologies as an emerging tool for HIV prevention: a cluster randomized trial. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 2013; 159(5):318–324. [PubMed: 24026317]
- Young SD, Cumberland W, Nianogo R, Menacho L, Galea J, Coates T. HOPE social media intervention: emerging tools for HIV intervention in Peru. *The Lancet HIV*. 2015; 2(1):e27–e32. [PubMed: 26236767]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 1

Basic demographics between groups among men who have sex with men (N = 211), Peru

	Control (n = 109)		Intervention (n = 102)		<i>p</i>
	n	%	n	%	
Age *	31.94	7.66	31.69	7.75	0.83
Sexual orientation **					
Gay	86	87.8	83	88.3	1
Bisexual	10	10.2	10	10.6	
Heterosexual/others	2	2	1	1.1	
Race **					
Native Peruvian	1	1.2	0	0	0.78
White	19	22.1	17	19.3	
Black	3	3.5	5	5.7	
Mixed	61	70.9	65	73.9	
Asian	2	2.3	1	1.1	
Highest educational attainment **					
Secondary	4	4.1	7	7.4	0.23
Institution	30	30.6	22	23.4	
Vocational training	1	1	6	6.4	
University	58	59.2	55	58.5	
Above	5	5.1	4	4.3	
Prior HIV research experience ***					
Yes	31	28.4	38	37.3	0.17
No	78	71.6	64	62.7	

* *t*-test

** Fisher's exact test

*** Chi-square test

Table 2

Binary (unadjusted) results of self-reported ethical concerns between groups among men who have sex with men (N = 211), Peru

	Control	Intervention	p	
How much did you understand the consent form and study process?*	Did not at all understand	1 1 0 0	0.0067	
	Did not understand	1 1 2 2		
How comfortable were you joining the group and seeing other people in the group?*	Neutral	8 7.8 11 11.1		
	Understood	24 23.3 40 40.4		
	Completely understood	69 67 46 46.5		
	Very uncomfortable	5 4.9 4 4	0.028	
	Uncomfortable	14 13.7 8 8.1		
How comfortable were you seeing other people's discussion topics?*	Neutral	35 34.3 24 24.2		
	Comfortable	34 33.3 44 44.4		
	Very comfortable	14 13.7 19 19.2		
	Very uncomfortable	5 4.9 4 4	0.038	
	Uncomfortable	12 11.8 7 7.1		
How comfortable were you having other group members talk to you online?*	Neutral	44 43.1 31 31.3		
	Comfortable	28 27.5 43 43.3		
	Very comfortable	13 12.7 14 14.1		
	Very uncomfortable	5 5 3 3.1	0.0032	
	Uncomfortable	10 10 9 9.2		
As a result of your participation in the study, how much have you benefited in gaining new friends?*	Neutral	45 45 23 23.5		
	Comfortable	30 30 46 46.9		
	Very comfortable	10 10 17 17.3		
	Very negatively	1 1 0 0	0.023	
	Negatively	1 1 2 2.1		
As a result of your participation in the study, how much have you benefited in improving HIV care?*	Neutral	76 75.2 56 58.9		
	Positively	14 13.9 23 24.2		
	Very positively	9 8.9 14 14.7		
		22.8	38.9	
	Very negatively	1 1 0 0	0.029	
Negatively	1 1 1 1.1			

	Control	Intervention	<i>p</i>
Neutral	32 31.4	18 18.9	
Positively	40 39.2	39 41.1	
Very positively	28 27.5	37 38.9	
Very negatively	0 0	0 0	0.0061
Negatively	0 0	1 1.1	
Neutral	36 36	18 18.9	
Positively	40 40	39 41.1	
Very positively	24 24	37 38.9	
Much less likely	2 2	0 0	0.034
Less likely	2 2	1 1.1	
Neutral	17 16.7	12 12.6	
Likely	50 49	40 42.1	
More likely	31 30.4	42 44.2	
Much less likely	2 2	0 0	0.021
Less likely	1 1	2 2.1	
Neutral	21 20.6	14 14.7	
Likely	51 50	38 40	
More likely	27 26.5	41 43.2	

As a result of your participation in the study, how much have you benefited in learning where to receive sexual health services?*

Compared to before you joined the study, how much more likely are you to have safe sex?*

Compared to before you joined the study, how much more likely are you to get tested for HIV regularly?*

* Simple cumulative logit regression model

Table 3

Adjusted odds ratios (AORs) of self-reported ethical concerns between groups among men who have sex with men (N = 211), Peru

	AOR*	95% CI		p
How much did you understand the consent form and study process? **	0.51	0.27	0.95	0.035
How comfortable were you joining the group and seeing other people in the group? **	1.83	1.03	3.26	0.039
How comfortable were you seeing other people's discussion topics? **	1.84	1.04	3.30	0.038
How comfortable were you having other group members talk to you online? **	2.38	1.33	4.31	0.0040
As a result of your participation in the study, how much have you benefited in gaining new friends? **	1.90	0.97	3.78	0.063
As a result of your participation in the study, how much have you benefited in improving HIV care? **	2.25	1.25	4.11	0.0077
As a result of your participation in the study, how much have you benefited in learning where to receive sexual health services? **	2.58	1.42	4.76	0.0021
Compared to before you joined the study, how much more likely are you to have safe sex? **	2.4	1.31	4.48	0.0051
Compared to before you joined the study, how much more likely are you to get tested for HIV regularly? **	2.36	1.30	4.36	0.0051

* All final models adjusted for age, race, sexual orientation, highest educational attainment, and prior HIV research experience

** Cumulative logit regression model